

# Chemistry 3730 Fall 2002 Test 1 Solutions

1. First, define the wavefunction:

```
> psi := (nx,ny,x,y,Lx,Ly) ->  
2/sqrt(Lx*Ly)*sin(nx*Pi*x/Lx)*sin(ny*Pi*y/Ly);
```

$$\Psi := (nx, ny, x, y, Lx, Ly) \rightarrow \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{nx\pi x}{Lx}\right) \sin\left(\frac{ny\pi y}{Ly}\right)}{\sqrt{LxLy}}$$

In this case,  $n_x = 2$  and  $n_y = 1$ . Also,  $L_x = L_y = L$ . We therefore want to evaluate the integral

$$\int_{y=0}^{L/8} \int_{x=L/5}^{L/3} \Psi_{2,1}^2 dx dy.$$

In Maple notation, this is

```
> int(int(psi(2,1,x,y,L,L)^2,x=L/5..L/3),y=0..L/8);
```

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{960} \left( -120 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \sqrt{3} - 128 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \pi \right. \\ & - 480 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) + 15\pi\sqrt{3} + 16\pi^2 \\ & \left. + 60\pi \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) \right) / \pi^2 \end{aligned}$$

This complicated result can be converted to a number using the `evalf()` function:

```
> evalf(%);
```

0.003102952577

2. (a) A normalized wavefunction is one for which

$$\int_{\text{all space}} \Psi^* \Psi dV = 1.$$

This is required because  $\Psi^* \Psi$  is the probability density. The above integral is the probability that a particle will be found somewhere in space, which clearly must be 1.

(b) Again, we will start by defining the wavefunction:

```
> phi := (x,L) -> x*(L-x);
```

$$\phi := (x, L) \rightarrow x(L-x)$$

A normalized version of this function,  $\phi_{\text{norm}}$ , can be made by multiplying  $\phi$  by a constant:

$$\phi_{\text{norm}} = A\phi.$$

The constant  $A$  must then satisfy

$$\int_0^L \phi_{\text{norm}}^2 dx = A^2 \int_0^L \phi^2 dx = 1.$$

By elementary algebra, we find that

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\int_0^L \phi^2 dx}}.$$

```
A := 1/sqrt(int(phi(x,L)^2,x=0..L));
```

$$A := \frac{\sqrt{30}}{\sqrt{L^5}}$$

(c) If  $\phi$  is orthogonal to  $\psi_n$ , then

$$\int_0^L \phi^* \psi dx = 0.$$

```
> psi := (n,x,L) -> sqrt(2/L)*sin(n*Pi*x/L);
```

$$\psi := (n, x, L) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$$

We now check for orthogonality. Because the wavefunction is real-valued, the complex-conjugate operation doesn't do anything.

```
> assume(n, integer);
> int(phi(x,L)*psi(n,x,L),x=0..L);
```

$$-\frac{2L^3 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L}} ((-1)^n - 1)}{n^3 \pi^3}$$

This is zero if the quantity in parentheses is zero, i.e. if  $(-1)^n = 1$  which occurs if  $n$  is even. In other words,  $\phi$  is orthogonal to all the even numbered exact wavefunctions ( $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ ).

3. First, define the wavefunction and let Maple know that  $n$  is an integer as above. The expectation value is

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \int_0^L \psi_n^* x^2 \psi_n dx.$$

> int(psi(n,x,L)\*x^2\*psi(n,x,L),x=0..L);

$$\frac{(-6((-1)^n)^2 + 2n^2\pi^2 + 3)L^2}{6n^2\pi^2}$$

> simplify(%);

$$\frac{(-3 + 2n^2\pi^2)L^2}{6n^2\pi^2}$$

The large  $n$  limit is also easy to get with Maple:

> limit(%,n=infinity);

$$\frac{L^2}{3}$$

4. (a) Two quantities are compatible if their operators commute. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_x &= \hat{y}\hat{p}_z - \hat{z}\hat{p}_y. \\ \therefore [\hat{L}_x, \hat{x}] &= [\hat{y}\hat{p}_z - \hat{z}\hat{p}_y, \hat{x}]. \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we can see that this commutator will only involve terms in  $[\hat{y}, \hat{x}]$ ,  $[\hat{p}_z, \hat{x}]$ ,  $[\hat{z}, \hat{x}]$  and  $[\hat{p}_y, \hat{x}]$ , all of which are zero. Accordingly,  $[\hat{L}_x, \hat{x}] = 0$  which means that  $L_x$  and  $x$  are compatible.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_x, \hat{y}] &= [\hat{y}\hat{p}_z - \hat{z}\hat{p}_y, \hat{y}] \\ &= [\hat{y}\hat{p}_z, \hat{y}] - [\hat{z}\hat{p}_y, \hat{y}] \\ &= \hat{y}[\hat{p}_z, \hat{y}] + [\hat{y}, \hat{y}]\hat{p}_z - \left\{ \hat{z}[\hat{p}_y, \hat{y}] + [\hat{z}, \hat{y}]\hat{p}_y \right\} \\ &= \hat{z}[\hat{y}, \hat{p}_y] = i\hbar\hat{z} \end{aligned}$$

Since this commutator is nonzero,  $L_x$  and  $y$  are *not* compatible.

5. False. Counterexample:  $\hat{x}$  commutes with both  $\hat{y}$  and  $\hat{p}_y$ , but  $\hat{y}$  and  $\hat{p}_y$  do not commute with each other.