1.

$$\frac{d[\mathbf{B}]}{dt} = k_1[\mathbf{A}] - k_2[\mathbf{B}].$$

$$[\mathbf{A}] = a[\mathbf{A}]_0;$$

$$[\mathbf{B}] = k_1[\mathbf{A}]_0 b/k_2;$$

$$t = \tau/k_1.$$

$$\therefore \frac{d(k_1[\mathbf{A}]_0 b/k_2)}{d(\tau/k_1)} = k_1(a[\mathbf{A}]_0) - k_2(k_1[\mathbf{A}]_0 b/k_2).$$

$$\therefore \frac{k_1^2[\mathbf{A}]_0}{k_2} \frac{db}{d\tau} = k_1[\mathbf{A}]_0(a-b).$$

$$\therefore \frac{k_1}{k_2} \frac{db}{d\tau} = a-b.$$

The steady-state approximation can be used if the factor multiplying the derivative is small, i.e. if $k_1 \ll k_2$, which is just what we would have expected in this very simple case.

2. (a)
$$R + CO_2 \rightarrow 2P$$

(b) For the overall reaction,

$$K = \frac{\left[\mathbf{P}\right]^2}{\left[\mathbf{R}\right]\left[\mathbf{CO}_2\right]}$$

For the four reactions which make up the mechanism, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} & = & \frac{[\mathbf{C}_1]}{[\mathbf{E}][\mathbf{R}]}, \\ \frac{k_2}{k_{-2}} & = & \frac{[\mathbf{C}_2]}{[\mathbf{C}_1][\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_2]}, \\ \frac{k_3}{k_{-3}} & = & \frac{[\mathbf{C}_3][\mathbf{P}]}{[\mathbf{C}_2]}, \\ \frac{k_4}{k_{-4}} & = & \frac{[\mathbf{E}][\mathbf{P}]}{[\mathbf{C}_3]}. \end{array}$$

Multiplying these four equations together, we get

$$\frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} \frac{k_2}{k_{-2}} \frac{k_3}{k_{-3}} \frac{k_4}{k_{-4}} = \frac{[C_1]}{[E][R]} \frac{[C_2]}{[C_1][CO_2]} \frac{[C_3][P]}{[C_2]} \frac{[E][P]}{[C_3]} = \frac{[P]^2}{[R][CO_2]} = K.$$

(c) From the kinetic data, we have

$$K = \frac{(1 \times 10^{5} \,\mathrm{Lmol^{-1}s^{-1}})(5 \times 10^{5} \,\mathrm{Lmol^{-1}s^{-1}})(7.5 \,\mathrm{s^{-1}})}{(0.5 \,\mathrm{s^{-1}})(0.15 \,\mathrm{s^{-1}})(5 \times 10^{3} \,\mathrm{Lmol^{-1}s^{-1}})(5 \times 10^{3} \,\mathrm{Lmol^{-1}s^{-1}})} = 1.5 \times 10^{6}.$$

This is larger than the separately measured equilibrium constant, so there's something wrong with the rate constants.

3. Equilibrium approximation for step 1:

$$k_1[\mathrm{NO}_{2(g)}]^2 \approx k_{-1}[\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}_{4(g)}].$$
 (1)

Equilibrium approximation for step 2:

$$k_2[N_2O_{4(g)}] \approx k_{-2}[N_2O_{4(surface)}].$$
 (2)

SSA for ONONO₂:

$$\frac{d[\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}]}{dt} = k_3[N_2O_{4(\text{surface})}] - k_4[\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}][\text{NO}_{2(\text{g})}] - k_5[\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}][\text{H}_2O_{(\text{surface})}] \approx 0.$$
(3)

We're going to use these three equations to eliminate the concentrations of the intermediates N_2O_4 (both gas-phase and on the surface) and ONONO₂. From equation 1, we have

$$[N_2O_{4(g)}] \approx k_1 [NO_{2(g)}]^2 / k_{-1}.$$

Equation 2 gives

$$[N_2O_{4(surface)}] \approx k_2[N_2O_{4(g)}]/k_{-2}$$

Combining the two, we get

$$[N_2O_{4(surface)}] \approx \frac{k_1k_2[NO_{2(g)}]^2}{k_{-1}k_{-2}}.$$

We can substitute this last relation into equation 3, and then solve for the $ONONO_2$ concentration:

$$k_{3} \frac{k_{1}k_{2}[\text{NO}_{2(g)}]^{2}}{k_{-1}k_{-2}} - k_{4}[\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}][\text{NO}_{2(g)}] - k_{5}[\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}][\text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{(\text{surface})}] \approx 0.$$

$$\therefore [\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}] \approx \frac{k_{1}k_{2}k_{3}[\text{NO}_{2(g)}]^{2}}{k_{-1}k_{-2}\left(k_{4}[\text{NO}_{2(g)}] + k_{5}[\text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{(\text{surface})}]\right)}.$$

Note that the fourth reaction doesn't contribute to the overall reaction. All it does is turn the intermediate $ONONO_2$ back into N_2O_4 . The overall reaction is therefore

$$2NO_{2(g)} + H_2O_{(surface)} \rightarrow HONO_{(g)} + HNO_{3(g)}.$$

The rate of reaction is thus

$$v = \frac{d[\text{HNO}_{3(g)}]}{dt} = k_5[\text{ONONO}_{2(\text{surface})}][\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(\text{surface})}]$$

= $\frac{k_1k_2k_3k_5[\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(\text{surface})}][\text{NO}_{2(g)}]^2}{k_{-1}k_{-2}\left(k_4[\text{NO}_{2(g)}] + k_5[\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(\text{surface})}]\right)}.$ (4)

Since k_2 and k_4 are both proportional to the area, these rate "constants" will increase in containers with larger surface areas. The exact dependence will however depend on the concentrations. If $k_4[NO_{2(g)}] \gg k_5[H_2O_{(surface)}]$, the rate reduces to

$$v = \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_5 [\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(\text{surface})}][\text{NO}_{2(\text{g})}]}{k_{-1} k_{-2} k_4},$$

which should be roughly independent of area since both k_2 and k_4 increase with area. On the other hand, if $k_4[NO_{2(g)}] \ll k_5[H_2O_{(surface)}]$,

$$v = \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 [\text{NO}_{2(g)}]^2}{k_{-1} k_{-2}}$$

which will be proportional to the area since k_2 is proportional to the area. If the two terms in the denominator of the rate expression 4 are of similar sizes, then the best we can say is that the rate will depend on the area in a complicated way.