

Evaluation Results for 200902ECON2850A.txt  
 From: Course Evaluation Processor [evaladmin@uleth.ca]  
 Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 4:15 AM  
 To: Christie, Merle  
 Subject: Evaluation Results for 200902ECON2850A

=====

Evaluation Report	200902ECON2850A
FINANCIAL ECONOMICS	Summer 2009

=====

Instructor: MICHAEL LANYI  
 Eval Opened At: Tue Jun 16 00:00:00 2009 Eval Closed At: Wed Jun 24 23:59:59 2009  
 Processed At: Thu Jun 25 04:15:02 2009

Submissions: 19  
 Eligible: 22  
 Response %: 86.36%

=====

Results Summary: Multiple Choice Responses

=====

	% Strongly Agree	% Agree	% Disagree	% Strongly Disagree	% Don't Know	% Not Applicable	# resp.
1 The course material was well planned and appeared to follow an orderly sequence.	47	53	0	0	0	0	19
2 The textbook(s) and/or other recommended reading materials were useful and important complements to the course.	37	63	0	0	0	0	19
3 In general, the instructor was well prepared for classes.	53	47	0	0	0	0	19
4 The instructor was always punctual and gave forewarning of possible absences from classes.	26	63	5	0	0	5	19
5 The instructor was able to explain ideas and concepts clearly.	16	79	5	0	0	0	19
6 Generally, the instructor has made this course as interesting as the subject matter would allow.	32	63	5	0	0	0	19
7 The instructor's speaking style and mannerisms enhanced the class presentations and discussion.	26	47	21	0	0	5	19
8 The instructor's written or oral comments on my work have been useful.	16	37	16	5	0	26	19
9 The instructor encouraged questions and/or student discussion.	32	53	11	0	5	0	19
10 The basis on which grades were determined was stated at the beginning of the course.	42	58	0	0	0	0	19
11 The exams and assignments were fair assessments of the learning expected in the course.	37	53	5	5	0	0	19
12 Considering the size of the class, return of exams and	47	47	0	0	5	0	19

Evaluation Results for 200902ECON2850A.txt

13	assignments has been prompt. The instructor has been available for consultation outside of class time.	26	47	0	5	11	11	19
14	Individual students were treated with respect and without prejudice.	53	47	0	0	0	0	19
15	The instructor stimulated independent thinking and a questioning attitude.	42	53	5	0	0	0	19
16	Considering my reasons for taking the course, I am satisfied with it.	26	68	5	0	0	0	19

17	Given that a full course load is five courses, the work required in this course was:	# Average----- # Heavy----- # Light #					# resp-.
		5	8	0			13

18	Your year of study	# 3----- # 2----- # 1----- # 4 #					# resp-.
		0	2	9	5	2	18

19	How many other courses have you taken in this department?	# 3 to 7----- # 2 or fewer----- # 8 to 20 #					# resp-.
		4	7	7			18

20	Is this course part of your proposed major?	# Yes----- # No #					# resp-.
		7	9				16

=====  
Results Summary: Text Responses  
=====

- A. What aspects of the course and/or instructor's teaching are good and should be retained?
- B. Which aspects need improvement? What suggestions do you have for such improvements?
- C. Do you have comments regarding the questionnaire itself? Please comment on any aspect of the course and/or the instructor's teaching that you think important and which was not covered in the questionnaire.

- A. Interesting course, with challenging questions. Liked the handout booklets professor handed out at the beginning of each chapter. Liked the reference made to the question type on test.
- B. More marks should be given as partial marks for showing work during testing. Marks were hard to come by if question was answered incorrectly.
- C. /

-----  
A. examples done in class  
-----

A. Please write down questions on the board before you do the question. It is  
Page 2

Evaluation Results for 200902ECON2850A.txt

very confusing not knowing what we are solving. Use whiteboard instead of transparencies. Explain the theory behind all the formulas. I found it very confusing in the beginning.

- 
- A. His willingness to help students.
  - B. Timing - near the end we were a little cramped for time, even though the class agreed on an earlier exam it still felt a little rushed.

---

A. everything is great!

- 
- A. He was ENTERTAINING.
  - B. The Bonus 3 Days on Promisary Notes is Pretty Dumb.

- 
- A. The practice questions to the chapter given is very good and should be maintained. Also the invitation of a Mortgage broker was helpful and very insightful.
  - B. The class needs a Lab since it involves a lot of calculations using different methods. A lab will give the students a lot of confidence with the materials.

- 
- A. - Teaching style: creates good atmosphere - Exams were exactly what was expected.
  - B. - None. Don't change anything.
  - C. - Class was informative, fun, and enlightening.

- 
- A. Mike is a very likeable instructor and his teaching style is very acceptable.
  - B. The instructor needs to be available during office hours and should return student e-mails to aid in the students learning.
  - C. N/A

- 
- A. test
  - C. it would have been great if the instructor assign the question regarding each section of the book instead of doing all of them.

- 
- A. I'm not too sure.
  - B. Shouldn't have 5 exams in a six-weeks summer course.
  - C. The 4 quizzes are weighted too much.

- 
- A. webCT
  - B. NO
  - C. NO.

- 
- B. The tests were not marked fairly. You would do the whole question right but get the wrong answer and it would all be wrong.