```
                    Evaluation Results for 200901ECON30121.txt
From: Course Evaluation Processor [evaladmin@uleth.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2009 4:16 AM
To: Christie, Merle
Subject: Evaluation Results for 200901ECON30121


================================================================================
Evaluation Report                                              200901ECON30121
Lab for Intermediate Macro. Theory                                 Spring 2009
================================================================================


Instructor:      M. LANYI
Eval Opened At:  Mon Apr  6 00:00:00 2009 Eval Closed At:  Mon Apr  6 23:59:59 2009
Processed At:    Tue Apr  7 04:15:45 2009

Submissions:     7
Eligible:        23
Response %:      30.43%



================================================================================
Results Summary: Multiple Choice Responses
================================================================================


                               % Disagree-----.    .-Strongly Disagree %
                        % Agree--------.     |     |    .-Don't Know %
                   % Strongly Agree-.   |    |     |    |    .-Not Applicabl %
                                  |  |  |    |     |    |    |    # resp-.
1 The lab was a useful supplement to   14  86   0    0     0    0                7
   the course.
2 The labs are effective in helping    29  71   0    0     0    0                7
   to prepare for assignments and
   exams.
3 The lab instructor reflects a        14  86   0    0     0    0                7
   sound knowledge of the subject
   matter.
4 In general, the lab instructor was   14  71  14    0     0    0                7
   well prepared.
5 The lab instructor was punctual      14  86   0    0     0    0                7
   and gave forewarning of possible
   absences.

6 Lab presentations were clear and     29  71   0    0     0    0                7
   understandable.
7 The lab material was well             0  71  14   14     0    0                7
   coordinated with the lecture
   material.
8 The lab instructor was willing and   29  71   0    0     0    0                7
   able to respond helpfully to
   questions in the lab.
9 The lab instructor has been          43  43   0    0     0   14                7
   helpful in answering questions
   outside of lab time.
10 Individual students were treated    29  71   0    0     0    0                7
   with respect and without
   prejudice.



================================================================================
Results Summary: Text Responses
================================================================================
A. Comment on the labs and/or lab instructor in this course B. Do you have any
comments regarding the questions on the questionnaire
                                  Page 1
```

itself? Please comment on any aspect of the lab and/or instructor's teaching that you think important and which was not covered in the questionnaire.
==

A. /

A. Structure examples more like exams and assignments.

A. - The powerpoints were clear and helpful - needed better co-ordination between lab and lecture, but I think that was Darku's fault.