Instructor: LANYI M

ECON 301

Semester:

06-3

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

	Weight:	Fre	quenc	y Dis 2	tribu 1	tion 0		Moon	Valid	No
BACKGROUND	weight:	4						Mean	Responses	Resp.
<ol> <li>What is your cumulative grade point average?</li> </ol>		4 15%	5 19%	13 48%	5 19%	0 0%		2.90	27	8
1) 3.5 or over 2) 3.0 to 3.49 3) 2.5 to 2.99 4) 2.0 to 2.49 5) below 2.0										
2. Why did you take this course?		21	5 18%	2 7%	0 0%	0 0%			28	7
1) It was compulsory 2) I am interested in the course 3) No alternative course available 4) It looked like an easy credit 5) Other reasons		738	106	76	U to	06				
GENERAL										
3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?	always	28 82%	5 15%	1 3%	0 0왕	0 0%	hardly ever	3.79	34	1
4. The course prerequisites were	essential	24 71%	8 2 <b>4</b> %	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	not essential	3.65	34	1
5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was	too easy	0 0왕	7 21%	16 47%	6 18%	5 15%	too difficult	1.74	34	1
6. The amount of work required for the course was	too little	0 0%	7 21%	23 68%	4 12%	0 0웅	too much	2.09	34	1
7. How valuable was the course content?	very	8 2 <b>4</b> %	13 38%	10 29%	3 9%	0 0웅	not very	2.76	34	1
8. The course text or supplementary material was	relevant	13 38%	9 26%	11 32%	0 0%	1 3%	irrelevant	2.97	34	1
		A	В	С	D	F				
9. I would rate this course as		7 21%	19 56%	8 24%	0 0%	0 0%		2.97	34	1

Instructor: LANYI M

Course: ECON 301

Semester:

06-3

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

Semeste	er: 06-3									
			Frequency Distribution						17-7-2-2	NI o
		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0	Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.
	GRADING									_
	The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	20 61%	6 18%	6 18%	1 3%	0 unrelated 0%	3.36	33	2
	The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	19 56%	12 35%	3 9%	0 0%	0 unfair 0%	3.47	34	1
	The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	20 59%	13 38%	1 3%	0 0%	0 unfair 0%	3.56	34	1
INSTRU	CTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS									
	How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	14 41%	15 44%	3 9%	2 6%	0 uninformative	3.21	34	1
	The instructor's organization and preparation	excellent	13 38%	15 44%	4 12%	2 6%	0 poor 0%	3.15	34	1
15.	The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	8 24%	13 38%	9 26%	4 12%	0 poor 0%	2.74	34	1
	The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	15 44%	12 35%	5 15%	2 6%	0 low 0%	3.18	34	1
	The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	9 26%	15 44%	7 21%	2 6%	1 inadequate 3%	2.85	34	1
18.	Questions during class were	encouraged	10 29%	15 44%	6 18%	3 9ક	0 discouraged 0%	2.94	34	1
	Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	21 62%	8 2 <b>4</b> %	5 15%	0 0%	0 never available 0%	3.47	34	1
	Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	21 62%	10 29%	3 9%	0 0 8	0 not at all 0%	3.53	34	1
	Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	18 55%	11 33%	4 12%	0 0%	0 poor 0%	3.42	33	2
			A	В	С	D	F			
	I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		13 38%	16 47%	5 15%	0 0%	0 0%	3.24	34	1